IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

FRIDAY, THE FIFTEENTH DAY OF JULY
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE S.ANANDA REDDY

WRIT PETITION NO: 14068 of 2005

Between:

A. Rajesh, S/o. A. Nanarao,
R/o. LIG-23, A.P. Housing board Colony, Repalle,

Guntur District- 522265.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Director, Directorate of Admissions, Osmania University,

Hyderabad.
2 The Convener, P.G. Entrance Examinations, Osmania University,

(O.U.Campus), Hyderabad.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to Issue a writ or order or direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondents is not properly valuing and not properly totaling the marks in M.Sc. Chemistry Entrance Test held on 7.6.2005 in respect of the petitioner having Hall Ticket No.5335173 being illegal, arbitrary and violative of Articles 14 and 21 of the Constitution of India apart from being violative of principles of Natural Justice and consequently direct the respondents to properly value the M.Sc Chemistry answer sheet of the petitioner Hall Ticket No.53335173 and issue the revised marks memo and declare him as passed in the event of his securing pass or more marks.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.VENKATA RANGADAS KANURI

Counsel for the Respondents: MR.DEEPAK BHATTACHARJEE SC FOR OU

The Court at the admission stage made the following:

ORDER:

This writ petition is filed by the petitioner aggrieved by the action of the respondents in not properly valuing the answer sheet of the petitioner, who appeared for the M.Sc., Chemistry entrance test conducted on 07-06-2005 by the respondent-University.

2. According to the petitioner, he has to get minimum pass marks, but he did not get the minimum marks. The grievance of the petitioner is that even though the result was communicated to the petitioner, the communication does not show how many marks he secured. Therefore, the petitioner has got a suspicion about the valuation. Hence, the present writ petition.

3. This Court, while ordering notice, directed the respondents to produce the answer script as well as the key. On which, the University produced both the answer script and the key. This Court, after verification, found that the petitioner had answered 31 questions correctly. Therefore, at the most, he is entitled for awarding of only 31 marks and not any more. Hence, the claim of the petitioner, that he performed very well at the entrance test but the respondent-university did not value properly, is clearly proved false. Therefore,

the petitioner is not entitled for any relief.

4. At the time of hearing, the learned counsel for the University represented that the petitioner had approached this Court with false averments and thereby caused lot of inconvenience to the functioning of the University. Therefore, sought for imposition of heavy costs.

5. In view of the above representation and also taking into account the facts, the writ petition is dismissed imposing costs of Rs.500/- payable by the petitioner to the respondent-University, within a period of four weeks. The answer script along with key are handed over to the Standing Counsel.

_____

S.ANANDA REDDY, J

15th July, 2005

SKM

Copy to:

1 The Director, Directorate of Admissions, Osmania University,

Hyderabad.

2 The Convener, P.G. Entrance Examinations, Osmania University,

(O.U.Campus), Hyderabad.

3 Two C.D. Copies.